UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,730	05/03/2005	Nicolaas Lambert	NL 021075	6084	
24737 7590 01/13/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER		
			SHEN, KEZHEN		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/13/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/533,730	LAMBERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		Rezilen enen	2027	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>18 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
a a fo	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplication, applicant must timely file one of the following pplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceriods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request	
a) 📘	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) 🖸	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.	
have be under 3 set forth may red	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	
	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of	
fi N	ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed women'ts	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a	а
(8	Fhe proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, lack they raise new issues that would require further colon. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(0	c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		
(0	I) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. 🔲 ·	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	
6. 🔲 1	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allon-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
h C C C	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) low the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 1.2.5 and 12-30. laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of	
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲 T b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a	
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.	
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:	
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	eph H. Feild/ visory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1, and 16 would change the scope of the claims and would therefore require a new search to determine patentability.